

Functional Programming

WS 2019/20

Torsten Grust
University of Tübingen

Administrivia

Slot	Time	Room
Lectures	Thursday, 10:15-11:45	C215
Tutorials	Tuesday, 14:15-15:45	A301

- Lecture: notes on slides and whiteboard + live Haskell coding
- Slides and Haskell code downloadable:
<https://db.inf.uni-tuebingen.de/teaching/FunctionalProgrammingWS2019-2020.html>
-  **Forum:**
<https://forum-db.informatik.uni-tuebingen.de/c/ws1920-db1>

Tutorials + Exercises

- Held weekly (starting with Denis Hirn, continued by Benjamin Dietrich).
- Tutorials start on **Tuesday, October 29, 2019**.
- New exercise sheets every Friday, hand in by Thursday next week.
 - Teams of two +
 - Mostly Haskell coding
- We use `git` to distribute assignments and collect your solutions (see the Forum for details and how to form teams).

Grading + Exam

- Need $\frac{2}{3}$ of exercise points to be admitted to the final written exam.
- Grading: excess exercise points turn into final exam bonus points.
- **Final exam on Thursday, February 6, 2020, 10:00–12:00.**
 - Rooms: C215 + A104
 - May bring a double-sided DIN A4 cheat sheet of notes

Install Haskell! »

- **Haskell Platform:**

- <http://www.haskell.org/platform>

- Available for Windows , macOS , Linux 

- Haskell compiler `ghc` (Glorious Glasgow Haskell Compiler)

- Current version 8.8.1, any recent version 8.x is OK

- Includes Haskell REPL `ghci`

- To `ghci`'s configuration (usually in `~/.ghci`), add the following switch:

```
:seti -XMonomorphismRestriction
```

Further Reading

- Bird: “Thinking Functionally with Haskell”, Cambridge University Press 2015
- Allen: “Haskell Programming From First Principles”, Gumroad 2016, <http://haskellbook.com>
- FP Complete: “The School of Haskell” at <http://www.schoolofhaskell.com>
- Keller: “Learning Haskell” at <http://learn.hfm.io> (in development)

Functional Programming (FP)

A programming language is a medium for **expressing ideas** (not to get a computer perform operations). Thus programs must be written for people to read, and only incidentally for machines to execute.

- Computational model in FP: **reduction** (replace **expressions** by their **value**).

Functional Programming (FP)

In FP, expressions are formed by **applying functions to values**.

1. **Functions as in maths:** $x = y \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y)$
2. **Functions are values** just like numbers or text.

	FP	Imperative
program construction	function application + composition	statement sequencing
execution	reduction (evaluation)	state changes
semantics	λ calculus	Turing machines

- Absence of explicit machine control generally leads to concise, often quite elegant, programs. Focus remains on problem. Programs are easier to reason about.

Example

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ is a **prime number** iff the set of non-trivial factors of n is empty:

$$n \text{ is prime} \iff \{ m \mid m \in \{ 2, \dots, n-1 \}, n \bmod m = 0 \} = \emptyset$$

Haskell Ramp-Up: Function Application and Composition

- Read \equiv as “denotes the same value as”.
- **Apply** f to value e : $f\ e$ (juxtaposition, “apply”, invisible binary operator $_$, Haskell speak: `infixl 10 _`)
 - $_$ has max precedence (10): $f\ e1 + e2 \equiv (f\ e1) + e2$
 - $_$ associates to the left (1): $g\ f\ e \equiv (g\ f)\ e$
- **Function composition:**
 - $g\ (f\ e)$
 - Operator $.$ (“after”): $(g\ .\ f)\ e$ ($.$ = \circ as in $g\ \circ\ f$)
 - Alternative “apply” operator $\$$ (lowest precedence, associates to the right, `infixr 0 \$`): $g\ \$\ f\ \$\ e \equiv g\ (f\ e)$

Infix vs. Prefix Operators

- Prefix application of binary infix operator \otimes :
 $(\otimes) e1 e2 \equiv e1 \otimes e2$
 - Example: $(\&\&) True False \equiv False$
- Infix application of binary function f :
 $e1 \text{ `f` } e2 \equiv f e1 e2$ (`: backtick)
 - $x \text{ `elem` } [1,2,3]$
 - $n \text{ `mod` } 2$
- User-defined infix operators, built from symbols
 $! \# \$ \% \& * + / < = > ? @ \ ^ _ | \sim : .$
 -  Identifiers starting with $:$ reserved to denote value constructors of algebraic data types.

Values and Types

- Read `::` as “*has type*”.

Any Haskell value `e` has a type `t` (`e :: t`) that is determined at **compile time**. The `::` type assignment is either given explicitly or inferred by the compiler.

Basic Built-In Haskell Types

Type	Description	Values
<code>Int</code>	64-bit integers $[-2^{63} .. 2^{63}-1]$	<code>0</code> , <code>1</code> , <code>(-42)</code>
<code>Integer</code>	arbitrary-precision integers	<code>0</code> , <code>10^100</code>
<code>Float</code>	single-precision floating points	<code>0.1</code> , <code>1e02</code>
<code>Double</code>	double-precision floating points	<code>0.42</code> , <code>1e-2</code>
<code>Char</code>	Unicode characters	<code>'x'</code> , <code>'\t'</code> , <code>'Δ'</code> , <code>'\8710'</code> , <code>'\^G'</code>
<code>Bool</code>	Booleans	<code>True</code> , <code>False</code>
<code>()</code>	“unit” (single-value type)	<code>()</code> (C: <code>void</code>)

Type Constructors

- **Type constructors** build new types from existing types.
- Let `a`, `b`, ... denote arbitrary types (type variables):

Type Constructor	Description	Values
<code>(a,b)</code>	pairs of values of types <code>a</code> , <code>b</code>	<code>(1, True) :: (Integer, Bool)</code>
<code>(a₁,a₂,...a_n)</code>	<i>n</i> -tuples	
<code>[a]</code>	lists of values of type <code>a</code>	<code>[True,False] :: [Bool]</code> , <code>[] :: [a]</code>
<code>Maybe a</code>	optional value of type <code>a</code>	<code>Just 42 :: Maybe Integer</code> , <code>Nothing :: Maybe a</code>
<code>Either a b</code>	choice between <code>a</code> and <code>b</code>	<code>Left 'x' :: Either Char b</code> , <code>Right pi :: Either a Double</code>
<code>IO a</code>	I/O action that returns value of type <code>a</code> (once performed)	<code>print 42 :: IO ()</code> , <code>getChar :: IO Char</code>
<code>a -> b</code>	function from type <code>a</code> to <code>b</code>	<code>isLetter :: Char -> Bool</code>

Currying

- Recall:
 1. $e1 ++ e2 \equiv (++) e1 e2$
 2. $(++) e1 e2 \equiv ((++) e1) e2$
- Function application happens one argument at a time (**currying**, *Haskell B. Curry*)
- Type of n -ary function: $a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_n \rightarrow b$. Type constructor \rightarrow associates to the right, thus read as:
 $a_1 \rightarrow (a_2 \rightarrow (\dots \rightarrow (a_n \rightarrow b)\dots))$
- Enables **partial application**: “Give me a value of type a_1 , I'll give you a $(n-1)$ -ary function of type $a_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_n \rightarrow b$ ”.

Defining Values (and thus: Functions)

- **= binds** names to values, values name must not start with **A-Z** (Haskell style: **camelCase**).
- Define constant (0-ary function) **c**, value of **c** is that of expression **e**: **c = e**
- Define **n**-ary function **f**, arguments **x_i** and **f** may occur in **e** (no **letrec** needed): **f x₁ x₂ ... x_n = e**
- Haskell program:
set of top-level bindings (order immaterial, **no** rebinding!)
- Good style: give type assignments for top-level bindings:

```
f :: a1 -> a2 -> b  
f x1 x2 = e
```

Guards

- **Guards** (introduced by `|`) are multi-way conditional expressions:

```
f x1 x2 ... xn
  | q1 = e1
  | q2 = e2
  | q3 = e3
  ...
```

- Guards `qi` (expressions of type `Bool`) evaluated top to bottom, first `True` guard wins. Syntactic sugar: `otherwise` \equiv `True`.
- Compare:

```
fac n = { 1, if n ≤ 1
         n * fac (n-1), otherwise
```

Local Definitions

1. **where binding:** Local definitions visible in the entire rhs of a definition:

```
f x1 x2 ... xn | p1⌘ = e1⌘      -- ⌘: gi in scope
                  | p2⌘ = e2⌘
                  | ...
where
  g1 = ...⌘
  g2 = ...⌘
```

2. **let expression:** Local definitions visible inside an expression:

```
let g1 = ...⌘
    g2 = ...⌘
in e⌘                                -- ⌘: gi in scope
```

Layout (Two-Dimensional Syntax)

- The Haskell compiler applies these transformation rules to the program source before compilation begins:
 1. The first token *after* a `where/let` and the first token of a top-level definition define the upper-left corner \ulcorner of a box.
 2. The first token left of the box closes the box \llcorner (“*offside rule*”).
 3. Insert `{` before the box.
 4. Insert `}` after the box.
 5. Insert `;` before a line that starts at left box border.

Layout (Example)

1. Original source:

```
let y    = a * b
    f x = (x + y) / y
in f c + f d
```

2. Make box visible:

```
let y    = a * b
    f x = (x + y) / y
in f c + f d           -- offside: in
```

3. After source transformation:

```
let {y = a * b  
    ;f x = (x + y) / y}  
in f c + f d
```

Lists — The Go-to Container Data Structure in FP

- Recursive definition of lists:

1. `[]` is a list (*nil*), type: `[] :: [a]`
2. `x : xs` is a list, if `x :: a` and `xs :: [a]`.
 $\begin{array}{cc} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{head} & \text{tail} \end{array}$

`cons: (:) :: a -> [a] -> [a]` with `infixr 5 :`

- Abbreviate long chains of `cons` using `[...]`:

`3:(2:(1:[])) ≡ 3:2:1:[] ≡ [3,2,1] (≡ 3:[2,1])`

Lists

- Law (⚠ `head`, `tail` are *partial functions*):

$\forall xs \neq []: \text{head } xs : \text{tail } xs \equiv xs$

- Type `String` is a synonym for type `[Char]` (“list of characters”).
- Introduce your own **type synonyms** via (type names: **Uppercase**):

```
type t1 = t2
```

Lists

- Sequences (of enumerable elements):

<code>[x..y]</code>	\equiv <code>enumFromTo x y</code>	<code>[x,x+1,x+2,...,y]</code>
<code>[x,s..y]</code>	\equiv <code>enumFromThenTo x s y</code>	<code>[x,x+i,x+2*i,...,y]</code> where $i = s-x$
<code>[x..]</code>	\equiv <code>enumFrom x</code>	<code>[x,x+1,x+2,...]</code>
<code>[x,s..]</code>	\equiv <code>enumFromThen x s</code>	<code>[x,x+i,x+2*i,...]</code>

Pattern Matching

- *The idiomatic Haskell way to **define a function by cases**:*

```
f :: a1 -> ... -> ak -> b
f p11 ... p1k = e1
f p21 ... p2k = e2
      ⋮
f pn1 ... pnk = en
```

- We have $e_i :: b$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- On a call $f x_1 x_2 \dots x_k$, each x_i is **matched** against **patterns** p_{1i}, \dots, p_{ni} in order. Result is e_r if the r th branch is the first in which all pattern match.

Pattern Matching

Pattern	Matches if...	Bindings in e_r
constant c	$x_i == c$	
variable v	always	$v = x_i$
wildcard $_$	always	
tuple (p_1, \dots, p_m)	components of x_i match component patterns p	those bound by component patterns p
$[]$	$x_i == []$	
$p_1:p_2$	head x_i matches p_1 and tail x_i matches p_2	those bound by p_1 and p_2
$v@p$	p matches	those bound by p and $v = x_i$

-  In a pattern, a variable may only occur once (*patterns are linear*).

Pattern Matching

- Pattern matching may be used in any expression (not just in function definitions): `case` expressions.

Matches against patterns p_i as well as guards q_{ij} may be used together:

```
case e of p1 | q11 -> e11
              | q12 -> e12
              ⋮
              pn | qn1 -> en1
              | qn2 -> en2
```